

Scale : layout	A reduction in the area of the incoming materials yard from 6,840m² to 3,400m² Re-orientation of the area to sit, enabling the aging area creating a single linear building footprint. a reduced footprint and more opportunities for existing landscaping and ecology to be retained. Moving the main process building away from the north boundary, 5m and the south boundary, 5m along which a reduced footprint of each process line, 5m!
Appearance	Some changes to the materials, being proposed for external parts of the buildings in order to assist with reflecting up the massing and lessen the visual impact. Green roofs are also, being proposed for the Security building RMS, building 91G, building and 1um+ Douse!
Access	Introduction of a dedicated access route each. for deliveries and staff vehicles! Delivery access no longer proposed to the north of the site! At staff access along the south boundary!
Landscape	Reduced overall extent of the landscaping on the site, retaining more of the existing woodland to the south of the site and around site boundaries. As well as retaining all of the wetland area to the east of the site. However, landscaped corridors are proposed, between buildings and the north and south perimeter, providing pedestrian access! In addition, amenity areas are, being included at the in the landscaped areas! car park areas would, be landscaped at the meadow grassland and trees! and four small, biodiverse green roofs are proposed on small buildings! rails and tracks would, be provided around the water detention basin. This is one of several proposed sustainable water management features!

Topic	'(' E" Conclusions	'(') Addendum Conclusion
-------	--------------------	---------------------------

No significant effects

Some positive and minor beneficial significance in relation to habitat loss and degradation

'(') Addendum Conclusion

Topic	'(' E" Conclusions	'(') ! ddendum Conclusion
	Minor adverse effects	<p>Minor adverse effects P some improvements eA+ected!</p> <p>% . e +ro+osed segregation of access for . eavy ve. icles from staff ve. icles +rovides a ,etterment t. roug. limiting ve. icular conflict!</p> <p>% . ere is no c. ange in t. e num,er of DG " movements to?from t. e site and total staff num,ers are also unc. anged . ence t. ere is no c. ange in t. e total num,er of car +ar/ing and cycle +ar/ing s+aces re0quired!</p> <p>% . e ne@ +ro+osed layout can accommodate over and a,ove t. e ve. icle stac/ ing ca+acity t. at @as outlined in t. e +revious design@ ensuring t. ere is sufficient ca+acity @it. in t. e site for goods ve. icles to load@ unload and @ait ,efore de+arting!</p> <p>% . ese c. anges result in no increase in adverse trans+ort effects t. an t. ose identified in t. e)&)) ESI</p>
\$	<p>Moderate adverse effects</p> <p>Some su,stantial adverse effects relating to ; community of Beaufort and Brecon Beacons National Par/</p>	<p>Moderate adverse effects!</p> <p>; onstruction effects from t. e amended design @ill ,e very similar to t. ose +reviously assessed!</p> <p>% . e Amended Develop+ment @ill ,e less +rominent and visually intrusive in all assessed vie@s @. ere t. e main ,ul/ of t. e ,uilt form is visi,le@ever it remains a large and detracting feature in +eo+leLs vie@s and @ill t. erefore continue to give rise to adverse visual c. angel % . e magnitude of t. is c. ange @ill ,e reduced@ ,ut not to t. e eAtent t. at outcome of t. e assessment of significance @ill ,e any ,etter t. an for t. e A++roved Develop+ment!</p> <p>As @it. t. e A++roved Develop+ment@ t. e +ro+osed c. anges are +redicted to give rise to moderate significant visual effects on t. e ; community of R3.47856(t)!! . llicf num. N</p>